EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

250 PARK AVENUE

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10177-1211

212.351.4500

FAX: 212.661.0989

EBGLAW.COM

•
_

MEMO ENDORSEI

KENNETH J. KELLY Tel: 212.351.4606 Fax: 212.878.8606 KKELLY@EBGLAW.COM

August 8, 2008

Augul 11, work

Awel Censin of The to

August 19, work is qualed - for

August 11, work

August 12, work

August 12

VIA EMAIL

Hon. Paul A. Crotty United States District Judge United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: Map Marine Limited v. China Construction Bank Corp. and Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena 08 Civ. 3771 (PAC)

Dear Judge Crotty:

Our firm represents China Construction Bank Corporation ("CCBC").

I write in regard to CCBC's request to move to dismiss the action. Our motion was to be served today.

Our client was directed us not to go forward with the motion to dismiss on the grounds that I specified in my letter of June 12, 2008.

This development presents another issue, which I have discussed with plaintiff's counsel earlier this week. CCBC is majority-owned by Central Huijun Investment Company Limited, which since September 2007 has been 100 percent owned by China Investment Corporation, the sovereign wealth fund of the People's Republic of China.

Due to the holding in <u>Dole Food Company v. Patrickson</u>, 538 U.S. 468 (2003), and subsequent Second Circuit decisions such as <u>Filler v. Hanvit Bank</u>, 378 F. 3d 213 (2d Cir. 2004), the fact that CCBC will not pursue the proposition that it is owned directly by an instrumentality of the state, precludes any ruling that CCBC is a "foreign state" within the meaning of 28 U.C.C. §§ 1603(a) and 1330. However, this means that because none of the parties is a U.S. citizen, this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the case. Each of the parties is a foreign corporation having principal places of business in the PRC, Grand Cayman Islands or Australia, or Italy.

ATLANTA · CHICAGO · DALLAS · HOUSTON · LOS ANGELES · MIAMI NEWARK · NEW YORK · SAN FRANCISCO · STAMFORD · WASHINGTON, D.C. Hon. Paul A. Crotty August 8, 2008 Page 2

Given the foregoing, I have suggested that plaintiff's counsel discontinue the action and recommence it in New York Supreme Court. Because plaintiff is located abroad, counsel has not yet received instructions on this issue.

With plaintiff's counsel's concurrence, I respectfully request that, should it be necessary, CCBC's time to move to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction be extended to August 29, which was the date plaintiff's opposition to the original motion would be due. I am advised that plaintiff's counsel will be out of the country on business the week after next and this period of time might be required to obtain and follow-up on plaintiff's instructions. We have not been able to contact counsel for defendant Banca Monte, who is also out of the country, but we assume he would not object to this request.

Respectfully submitted

Kenneth J. Kelly

Enclosure

cc: Jack A. Greenbaum, Esq. (by email)

Steven Cooper, Esq. (by email)